



## INFLUENCE OF GENOTYPES AND PLANT DENSITY ON THE NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND YIELD OF COTTON AND SOIL FERTILITY

Asha Rani<sup>1</sup> and T. Senthivel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cotton Development and Research Association, The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore - Tamil Nadu  
<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Husbandry, Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed University), Gandhigram - 624 302

### ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted during winter irrigated season of 2013-14 and 2014-15 at South Indian Textile Mill Association Farm, (SIMA) Udumalpet with the objective to find out the influence of different genotypes and spacings (high density) on the nutrient uptake and yield of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and soil fertility status. The experiments were laid out in a split plot design replicated thrice. Three genotypes viz, genotype SHS 102, genotype SHS 374, genotype SHS-2-4 and one variety Anjali were fitted in the main plot and four spacings viz., 45 x 15 cm (Very high density), 45 x 20 cm, 60 x 15 cm (High density) and 60 x 20 cm (Medium high density) respectively were tried in the sub plot. The results of the experiments revealed that among the cotton genotypes, the genotype SHS 102 and genotype SHS 374 recorded higher nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake at all the stages and higher seed cotton yield. Among the different plant spacings, 60 x 15 cm spacing recorded significantly higher nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake and seed cotton yield than the other plant spacings. The plots in which the variety Anjali was cultivated recorded higher soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium followed by genotype SHS-2-4. The plant spacing 45 x 15 cm registered higher soil available nutrients followed by 45 x 20 cm.

**Key words :** Nutrient uptake, yield, genotypes, plant density, cotton, soil fertility.

Cotton is a natural part of everyday life which serves the mankind from the cradle to the grave. Cotton plays a key role in socio-economic and political affairs of the world (Kairon *et al.*, 2004). Cotton is one of the most ancient and very important commercial fibre crops of global perspective. Cotton has a significant role in Indian agriculture in terms of industrial development, employment generation and national economy.

The manipulation of row spacing, plant density and the spatial arrangements of cotton plants for obtaining higher yield have been attempted by agronomists for several decades in many countries. The most commonly tested plant densities range from 5 to 15 plants m<sup>-2</sup> (Kerby *et al.*, 1990) resulting in a population of 50000 to 150000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. The concept on high density cotton planting, more popularly called Ultra Narrow Row (UNR) cotton was initiated by Briggs *et al.* (1967). Ultra narrow row cotton has row spacings as low as 20 cm and plant population on the range of 2 to 2.5 lakh plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, while conventional cotton is planted in rows of 90 to 100 cm apart and has a plant population of about 1,00,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. However in India, the recommended plant density for cotton seldom exceeded 55,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>.

The advantages of high density planting system include better light interception, efficient leaf area development and early canopy closure which will shade out the weeds and reduce their competitiveness (Wright *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the high density planting system (HDPS) is now being conceived as an alternate production system having a potential for improving the

productivity and profitability, increasing input use efficiency, reducing input costs and minimizing the risks associated with the current cotton production system in India.

Genotype selection, a key management component in any cropping system, is even more critical in high density planting system. High yielding potential is a predominant consideration with early maturity of the crop. But, plant size and fibre properties are also important factors to be considered.

So far, limited research has been done on this aspect in India. In this context, this experiment was conducted with a view to find out the influence of cotton genotypes under different plant densities on the nutrient uptake and yield of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and soil fertility status.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted at SIMA Research Farm during the year 2013-14 and 2014-15 during winter to evaluate different plant density the nutrient uptake and yield of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and soil fertility status. The experiments were laid out in split plot design replicated thrice with four cotton genotypes viz., genotype SHS 102, genotype SHS 374, genotype SH-2-4 and Anjali and four spacings viz., 45x15 cm, 45x20 cm, 60 x 15 cm and 60 x 20 cm. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam in texture, belonging to *Typic Ustropept*. The nutrient status of soil at the beginning of experiment was low in available nitrogen (190 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (13.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in available



Table-1 : Effect of cotton genotypes and plant density nitrogen uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) by cotton.

Treatment	2013-14			2014-15		
	40 DAS	80 DAS	120 DAS	40 DAS	80 DAS	120 DAS
<b>Genotypes</b>						
1 - Genotype SHS 102	17.54	55.56	78.68	18.41	58.34	82.61
2 - Genotype SHS 374	15.83	48.47	71.51	16.62	50.90	72.98
3 - Genotype SHS-2-4	14.85	46.98	61.09	15.59	49.33	64.57
4 - Anjali	12.65	39.34	53.64	13.29	41.30	57.99
SEd	0.39	1.24	1.73	0.41	1.31	1.82
CD (P=0.05)	0.97	3.04	4.24	1.01	3.20	4.46
<b>Plant spacing (cm)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> - 45 x 15 cm	14.69	44.12	55.04	15.42	46.33	63.76
S <sub>2</sub> - 45 x 20 cm	15.00	47.07	60.82	15.75	49.42	65.61
S <sub>3</sub> - 60 x 15 cm	15.90	51.95	80.82	16.69	55.54	84.86
S <sub>4</sub> - 60 x 20 cm	15.28	47.22	68.24	16.04	49.58	63.92
SEd	0.38	1.21	1.69	0.40	1.27	1.77
CD (P=0.05)	0.79	2.49	3.49	0.83	2.61	3.65
Interaction	NS	S	S	S	S	S

Table-2 : Effect of cotton genotypes and plant density phosphorus uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) by cotton.

Treatment	2013-14			2014-15		
	40 DAS	80 DAS	120 DAS	40 DAS	80 DAS	120 DAS
<b>Genotypes</b>						
1 - Genotype SHS 102	3.36	11.91	13.10	3.53	12.50	13.75
2 - Genotype SHS 374	2.74	9.49	10.44	2.88	9.97	10.96
3 - Genotype SHS-2-4	2.73	8.46	9.30	2.87	8.88	9.77
4 - Anjali	2.34	7.41	8.15	2.45	7.78	8.56
SEd	0.07	0.26	0.28	0.07	0.27	0.30
CD (P=0.05)	1.17	0.63	0.69	0.18	0.66	0.73
<b>Plant spacing (cm)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> - 45 x 15 cm	2.58	7.99	8.79	2.71	8.39	9.23
S <sub>2</sub> - 45 x 20 cm	2.67	8.56	9.42	2.81	8.99	9.89
S <sub>3</sub> - 60 x 15 cm	3.27	11.46	12.60	3.43	12.03	13.23
S <sub>4</sub> - 60 x 20 cm	2.65	9.26	10.18	2.79	9.72	10.69
SEd	0.07	0.24	0.27	0.07	0.26	0.28
CD (P=0.05)	1.15	0.50	0.55	0.15	0.53	0.58
Interaction	S	S	S	S	S	S

potassium ( $346 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The cotton crop was raised as per the treatments by following all the standard package of practices.

Nutrient uptake by the crop was estimated using standard procedures. Observations on seed cotton yield were recorded. The post harvest soil nutrient status was analysed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Nutrient Uptake

**Nitrogen uptake :** Among the cotton genotypes, comparably higher nitrogen uptake was recorded by the cotton genotype SHS 102 followed by genotype SHS 374

and genotype SHS-2-4 in the year 2013-14 at all the stages of observation (Table 1). The variety Anjali recorded the least uptake of nitrogen.

With regard to plant spacings, plants under the spacing of  $60 \times 15 \text{ cm}$  recorded significantly higher nitrogen uptake compared to all other spacings tested, followed by  $60 \times 20$  and  $45 \times 20 \text{ cm}$  at all the stages. Significantly lower uptake of nitrogen was observed under  $45 \times 15 \text{ cm}$  spacing at 80 and 120 DAS.

The nutrient uptake was significantly influenced by cotton genotypes and plant spacings. The genotype SHS 102 had higher nitrogen uptake over the other cotton genotypes irrespective of stages which in turn contributed



Table-3 : Effect of cotton genotypes and plant density potassium uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) by cotton.

Treatment	2013-14			2014-15		
	40 DAS	80 DAS	120 DAS	40 DAS	80 DAS	120 DAS
<b>Genotypes</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> - Genotype SHS 102	17.86	50.21	56.56	18.75	52.72	59.39
V <sub>2</sub> - Genotype SHS 374	15.75	40.03	45.09	16.54	42.03	47.34
V <sub>3</sub> - Genotype SHS-2-4	15.13	35.66	40.17	15.88	37.45	42.18
V <sub>4</sub> - Anjali	12.85	31.26	35.21	13.49	32.82	36.97
SEd	0.40	1.08	1.22	0.42	1.14	1.28
CD (P=0.05)	0.98	2.65	2.98	1.02	2.78	3.13
<b>Plant spacing (cm)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> - 45 × 15 cm	14.49	33.70	37.96	15.21	35.39	39.86
S <sub>2</sub> - 45 × 20 cm	15.13	36.11	40.67	15.89	37.91	42.71
S <sub>3</sub> - 60 × 15 cm	16.37	48.31	54.42	17.19	50.73	57.14
S <sub>4</sub> - 60 × 20 cm	15.60	39.04	43.97	16.37	40.99	46.17
SEd	0.39	1.03	1.16	0.41	1.08	1.21
CD (P=0.05)	0.81	2.12	2.38	0.85	2.22	2.50
Interaction	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table-4 : Effect of cotton genotypes and plant density on yield of cotton ( $\text{q ha}^{-1}$ ).

Table-4 : Effect of cotton genotypes and plant density on yield of cotton (q/ha)

Treatment	2013-14					2014-15				
	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	Mean
V <sub>1</sub>	22.72	22.72	22.72	22.72	22.72	23.17	23.90	25.48	23.68	24.06
V <sub>2</sub>	18.90	18.90	18.90	18.90	18.90	19.27	21.92	24.99	21.65	21.96
V <sub>3</sub>	15.15	15.15	15.15	15.15	15.15	14.96	17.08	21.99	19.51	18.38
V <sub>4</sub>	14.18	14.18	14.18	14.18	14.18	15.02	16.22	21.39	19.24	17.97
Mean	17.74	17.74	17.74	17.74	17.74	18.11	19.78	23.46	21.02	
	SEd		SEd		SEd	SEd		SEd		
V	0.58		0.58		0.58	0.58		0.58		
S	0.41		0.41		0.41	0.41		0.41		
V at S	0.92		0.92		0.92	0.92		0.92		
S at V	0.82		0.82		0.82	0.82		0.82		

V <sub>1</sub>	:	Genotype SHS 102	S <sub>1</sub>	:	45 × 15 cm
V <sub>2</sub>	:	Genotype SHS 374	S <sub>2</sub>	:	45 × 20 cm
V <sub>3</sub>	:	Genotype SHS-2-4	S <sub>3</sub>	:	60 × 15 cm
V <sub>4</sub>	:	Anjali	S <sub>4</sub>	:	60 × 20 cm

to more reproductive bodies and ultimately higher yield as evidenced in this investigation. Active physiological process and accelerated growth might have enhanced the nitrogen uptake by this cotton genotype. The top yielder's of the experiment genotype SHS 102 and genotype 374 had recorded significantly higher nitrogen uptake as compared to other genotypes which showed the positive coherent correlation between nitrogen uptake and seed cotton yield. This is in consonance with the earlier report of Poonguzhalan (2003) who reported that nitrogen uptake had positive correlation with yield.

Among the spacing, higher nitrogen uptake was recorded with 60 × 15 cm which might be due to optimum plant population and less competition for nutrients. This is in line with the findings of Devraj *et al.* (2011), Janat and

Khalout (2011) and Brodrick *et al.* (2012) who found that quantum of N, P and K removal per unit area was found to be linearly related to plant density.

**Phosphorus uptake :** Among the cotton genotypes, genotype SHS 102 recorded comparably higher phosphorus uptake at all the stages followed by genotype SHS 374 and both were comparable with each other at 40 DAS (Table 2). The variety Anjali recorded the least phosphorus uptake at all the stages of observation.

Comparing the different plant spacings tested, 60 × 15 cm spacing recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake (3.27, 11.46 and 12.60  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  at 40, 80 and 120 DAS, respectively in 2013-14) than the other plant spacings. The least phosphorus uptake was registered under closure spacing of 45 × 15 cm.



Table-5 : Effect of cotton genotypes and plant density on post-harvest soil available NPK ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ).

Treatment	2013-14			2014-15		
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
<b>Genotypes</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> - Genotype SHS 102	205.9	12.35	257.3	175.0	11.53	247.0
V <sub>2</sub> - Genotype SHS 374	225.8	13.55	282.3	192.0	12.65	271.0
V <sub>3</sub> - Genotype SHS-2-4	240.8	14.45	301.0	204.7	13.48	288.9
V <sub>4</sub> - Anjali	259.9	15.60	324.9	221.0	14.56	311.9
SEd	6.66	0.40	8.33	5.66	0.37	7.99
CD (P=0.05)	5.45	0.98	20.38	13.86	0.91	19.56
<b>Plant spacing (cm)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> - 45 × 15 cm	241.9	14.51	302.3	205.6	13.54	290.2
S <sub>2</sub> - 45 × 20 cm	237.1	14.22	296.4	201.5	13.28	284.5
S <sub>3</sub> - 60 × 15 cm	223.4	13.41	279.3	189.9	12.51	268.1
S <sub>4</sub> - 60 × 20 cm	230.0	13.80	287.6	195.5	12.88	276.1
SEd	16.30	0.33	6.82	4.64	0.31	6.55
CD (P=0.05)	11.26	0.68	14.07	9.57	0.63	13.51
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

The cotton genotypes SHS 102 and SHS 374 warranted more amount of phosphorus at the boll forming phase expressed with higher P uptake and this might be one of the reasons for higher boll weight and higher boll number besides its genetic makeup. This is in confirmation with the earlier findings Srinivasan and Venkatesan (2002) who observed that higher phosphate plant uptake at the boll development phase favourably increased the boll number and development. The N, P, and K uptake was higher under optimum density of planting and this is in conformity with the earlier findings of Jain and Katti (1983) and they found that quantum of N, P and K removal per unit area was found to be inversely related to plant density and nutrient absorption by individual plants.

**Potassium uptake :** The genotype SHS 102 recorded significantly higher potassium uptake followed by genotype SHS 374 at 40, 80 and 120 DAS (Table 3). The variety Anjali recorded the least uptake.

Considering the plant spacings, plants under the spacing of 60 × 15 cm recorded significantly higher potassium uptake ( 16.37, 48.31 and 54.42  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  at 40, 80 and 120 DAS, respectively in 2013-14) compared to all other spacings tested, followed by 60 × 20 cm and 45 × 20 cm at all the stages. Significantly lower uptake of potassium was observed under 45 × 15 cm spacing at all the stages of observation.

The genotype SHS 102 registered higher uptake of K followed by genotype SHS 374. This might be due to better growth of these genotypes even from the earlier stages which led to higher uptake.

Among the spacing, higher potassium uptake was recorded with 60 × 15 cm which might be due to optimum plant population and less competition for nutrients. This is in line with the findings of Govindan (1989) who reported that optimum plant density (1, 00,000 plants  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) significantly increased the uptake of potassium.

**Seed cotton yield (Table 4) :** Among the cotton genotypes, genotype SHS 102 recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield of 24.20 and 24.06  $\text{q ha}^{-1}$  during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively (Table 4). The variety Anjali recorded lower seed cotton yield (17.51 and 17.97  $\text{q ha}^{-1}$  during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively). However, the yield obtained under the variety Anjali was comparable with the genotype SHS-2-4 during both the years of study.

Among the genotypes, genotype SHS 102 recorded higher seed cotton yield followed by genotype SHS 374 during both the years of study. The yield reduction due to genotype SHS 374 was 11.85 per cent during 2013-14 and 8.72 per cent during 2014-15 comparing the yield under genotype SHS 102. The genotype SHS 102 and 374 recorded comparably higher yields over the other cotton genotypes, which could be attributed due to the increased sympodial branches, fruiting points, higher boll setting and boll numbers as evidenced in the present study.

Better vegetative growth and profuse boll bearing has taken a major share in increasing the seed cotton yield of genotype SHS 102 and SHS 374 over other cotton genotypes. Ongoing growth and development events pertaining to biomass and square production, leaf area maintenance with canopy development were favourably influenced thus realizing higher productivity reflected



through higher partitioning of assimilates into the developing bolls. Further the higher seed cotton yield might be attributed due to higher retention of bolls from the first flush of flowers like Bt hybrids with no boll damage. This might have resulted due to utilization of more nutrient energy in the nourishment of maximum number of bolls that were saved from the boll damage. This is in confirmation with the earlier findings of Mayee *et al.* (2004) and Nehra *et al.* (2004) who found that Bt cotton hybrids recorded significantly higher seed yield than non-Bt hybrids because of higher boll retention and significantly higher seed cotton yield reduced bollworm damage.

Among the plant spacings, the plant spacing of 60 x 15 cm recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield 23.01 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2013-14 and 23.46 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2014-15 followed by 60 x 20 cm spacing. Lower seed cotton yield was observed with the plant spacing of 45 x 15 cm (17.74 and 18.11 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively).

Comparing the plant spacings, high density planting with optimum inter and intra row spacing (60 x 15 cm) recorded higher seed cotton yield compared to closer and wider row spacing (45 x 15 and 60 x 20 cm, respectively). The yield reduction under very high density planting due to closer spacing of 45 x 15 cm was 15.13 per cent in 2013-14 and 15.69 per cent in 2014-15 comparing the yield under medium high density planting of 60 x 15 cm. The yield reduction under medium high density due to wider spacing (60 x 20 cm) was 8.82 per cent in 2013-14 and 10.40 per cent in 2014-15 comparing the yield under spacing of 60 x 15 cm (medium high density).

In the year 2013-14, adopting a plant spacing of 60 x 15 cm in genotype SHS 102 significantly recorded higher seed cotton yield of 25.19 q ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by genotype SHS 102 with 60 x 20 cm of plant spacing (24.96 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and both were comparable with each other. The least seed cotton yield was recorded under the treatment combination of variety Anjali at 45 x 15 cm spacing.

During 2014-15, the treatment combination of genotype SHS 102 sown at a spacing of 60 x 15 cm recorded higher seed cotton yield followed by genotype SHS 374 with the plant spacing of 60 x 15 cm and genotype SHS 102 at 60 x 20 cm and were comparable with each other. The least seed cotton yield was recorded under the variety Anjali at 45 x 15 cm spacing.

The interaction between cotton genotypes and plant spacing had also significant influence on seed cotton yield. This showed that optimum plant spacing varied depends on the growth habits and canopy alteration from hybrid to hybrid. This is in consonance with the findings of Bapna *et al.* (1976) who reported that optimum plant

density is dependant on the inherent vegetative habit of variety and conditions of soil fertility, moisture and cultural practices.

In both the experiments conducted, genotype SHS 102 and 374 had recorded significantly higher yield at a plant spacing of 60 x 15 cm. This is in conformity with the findings of Anjum *et al.* (2010) who found that maximum seed cotton yield was recorded with 75 cm row spacing followed by 60 cm row spacing, whereas minimum seed cotton yield was observed with 90 cm row spacing. From this it is clearly understood that genotype SHS 102 could accommodate in optimum plant density and the competition between the plants are also found to be lesser.

Another factor is that wider spacing (medium high density planting) paved a way for enhanced availability of nutrients to the crop and increased the nutrient uptake which helped in improved crop growth, which in turn was expressed in terms of yield. This is in line with the earlier findings of Bhalerao *et al.* (2008) and Saleem *et al.* (2009) who reported similar findings.

#### Post harvest available soil nutrients

**Available nitrogen :** Among the genotypes, the plot in which the variety Anjali was cultivated registered higher soil available nitrogen (259.9 and 221.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively) followed by genotype SHS-2-4 (Table 5). The plot in which genotype SHS 102 and 374 were cultivated recorded lesser available nitrogen.

Regarding the plant spacings, plant spacing of 45 x 15 cm registered higher soil available nitrogen (241.9 and 205.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively) followed by 45 x 20 cm spacing. The plant spacing of 60 x 15 cm recorded the least soil available nitrogen during both the years of the study.

**Available phosphorus :** With respect to cotton genotypes, the plot in which the variety Anjali was cultivated recorded higher available phosphorus (15.60 and 14.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively) followed by genotype SHS-2-4 during both the years (Table 5). The least available phosphorus was recorded in plot cultivated with genotype SHS 102 during both the years.

Among the plant spacings, plant spacing of 45 x 15 cm registered higher soil available phosphorus followed by 45 x 20 cm and both were comparable with each other during both 2013-14 and 2014-15. The spacing of 60 x 15 cm and 60 x 20 cm recorded lesser available phosphorus.

**Available potassium :** Higher soil available potassium was recorded under variety Anjali followed by genotype SHS-2-4 during both the years (Table 5). The genotype SHS 102 recorded lesser available K during both the years of study.



With regard to plant spacings, higher soil available potassium (302.3 and 290.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively) was recorded under 45 x 15 cm spacing followed by 45 x 20 cm spacing and both were comparable with each other during both the years of study.

Cotton is a deep-rooted crop, voracious feeder of nutrients that responds well for nitrogen but not with phosphorous and potassium (Blaise, 2004). Cotton requires the constant supply of nutrients; the response was more during flowering and boll development.

The soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were significantly influenced by different cotton genotypes during both the years (Fig. 18 and 19). The available N, P and K was higher with the variety Anjali and this might be due to lower dry matter produced with a result of lower nutrient uptake at all the stages of nutrient analysis which lead to more quantity of N, P and K availability in soil over other genotypes.

With regard to plant spacings, higher available N, P and K was recorded with closer spacing of 45 x 15 cm and this might be due to lesser amount of nutrient uptake and dry matter production under closer spacing as evidenced in the present investigation.

## CONCLUSION

Among the cotton genotypes, the genotype SHS 102 and genotype SHS 374 recorded higher nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake at all the stages and seed cotton yield. Among the different plant spacings, 60 x 15 cm spacing recorded significantly higher nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake and seed cotton yield than the other plant spacings. The plots in which the variety Anjali was cultivated recorded higher soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium followed by genotype SHS-2-4. The plant spacing of 45 x 15 cm registered higher soil available nutrients followed by 45 x 20 cm.

## REFERENCES

1. Anjum, S.A., M.F. Saleem, L. Wang, L. Xue, M.Q. Shahid and S. Ali. (2010). Growth, lint yield and earliness index of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Cultivars under varying row spacing. *Cotton Sci.*, 22 (6) : 611 – 616
2. Bapna, J.A., C.T. Patel and V.P. Chaudhari, 1976. Effect of row width, plant population and fertilizer levels on hybrid-4. *Cotton Devpt.*, 5(3): 7-9.
3. Bhalerao, P.D., P.P. Gawande, P.U. Ghatol and B.R. Patil. (2008). Performance of Bt cotton hybrids for various spacing under rainfed condition. *Agric. Sci. Digest*. 28(1): 54-56.
4. Briggs, R.E., L.L. Patterson, and G.D. Massey. (1967). Within and between-row spacing of cotton. – Arizona Annual Report. P. 6–7. Univ. of Arizona Agric. Ext. Service, Arizona
5. Brodrick, R., M.P. Bange, S.P. Milroy and G.L. Hammer, (2012). Physiological determinants of high yielding ultra-narrow row cotton: Biomass accumulation and partitioning. *Field Crops Res.*, 134: 122-129.
6. Devraj, M.S., Bhatto, B.S. Duhan, Promila Kumara and P.P. Jain. (2011). Effect of crop geometry and fertilizer levels on seed cotton yield and nutrient uptake of Bt cotton under irrigated conditions. *J. Cotton Res. Dev.*, 25(2): 176-180.
7. Govindan, K. (1989). Influence of plant density, canopy management fertilizer levels and Nitrification inhibitor in maximizing the productivity of cotton (MCU - 9). *Msc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Department of Agronomy, TNAU, Coimbatore.
8. Jain, S.C. and G.V. Katti. (1983). Effect of plant geometry and fertility levels on growth and yield of cotton. *Indian J. Agron.*, 28: 98-99.
9. Janat, M. and A.R. Khalout. (2011). Evaluation of Drip-Irrigated Cotton Grown under Different Plant Population Densities and Two Irrigation Regimes. *Communications in Soil Sci. and Plant Anal.*, 42: 741-752.
10. Kairon, M.S., D.B. Laise and M.V. Venugopalram. (2004). Cotton. In: R. Prasad (ed.) *Field Crops Production*, ICAR, New Delhi, India. pp. 646- 674.
11. Kerby, T.A., K.G. Cassman and M. Keeley, (1990). Genotypes and plant densities for narrow row cotton systems II leaf area dry matter partitioning. *Crop Sci.*, 30: 649-653.
12. Mayee, C.D., P. Singh, P. Mohan and D.K. Agarwal. (2004). Evaluation of Bt transgenic intra-hirsutum hybrids for yield and fibre properties. *Indian J. of Agric. Sci.*, 74(1): 46-47
13. Nehra, P.L., K.C. Nehara and P.D. Kumawat. 2004. Performance of Bt cotton hybrids at different spacings in canal command area of North-Western Rajasthan. *J. Cotton. Res. Dev.*, 18(2): 189-190.
14. Poonguzhalan, R. (2003). Integration of biological, cultural and chemical methods of weed management for sustainable production of Rice + Fish farming system. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Tamil Nadu Agric. Uni., Coimbatore.
15. Saleem, M.F., S.A. Anjum, A. Shakeel, M.Y. Ashraf and H.Z. Khan. (2009). Effect of row spacing on earliness and yield in Cotton. *Pak. J. Biol.*, 41(5): 2179-2188.
16. Srinivasan, G. and K. Venkatesan. 2002. Responses of summer irrigated cotton to sources levels and management of phosphorus. *Indian J. Agron.*, 47(1): 120-122.
17. Wright, D. L., J. J., Marois, R. K. Sprengel and J. R. Rich. 2011. Production of Ultra Narrow Row Cotton. University of Florida (UF), IFAS Extension. SS- AGR-83.